## EMPOWERING FUTURES: APPLYING THE CAPABILITY APPROACH TO TRAINING AND DEVELOPMENT IN HIGHER EDUCATION

**Neha Pal**

Assistant Professor, Haridwar University, Roorkee, Uttarakhand

**ABSTRACT**

*In an era of rapid socio-economic and technological change, higher education must go beyond conventional knowledge transfer to foster holistic student development. This study explores the application of the Capability Approach—pioneered by Amartya Sen and Martha Nussbaum—to training and development in higher education, emphasizing the enhancement of students' well-being and career freedom. By focusing on individual capabilities—the real freedoms students have to achieve valued outcomes—this approach offers a person-centered framework that aligns educational practices with students' aspirations, contexts, and diverse needs. Drawing from interdisciinary literature, the research investigates how capability-enhancing strategies in curriculum design, pedagogy, and institutional support can contribute to more equitable and meaningful educational outcomes. The study also examines the implications for policy, teaching practices, and student support services in promoting agency, empowerment, and long-term employability. Ultimately, this research aims to reimagine training and development in higher education as a transformative process that equips students not only with skills, but with the freedom to lead lives they have reason to value.*

## INTRODUCTION

In contemporary higher education, th ere is growing recognition that the success of students cannot be measured solely by academic achievement or employability statistics. As societies become increasingly complex and diverse, the demand for an educational paradigm that nurtures students' holistic development has intensified. Traditional training and development models often emphasize standardized skill acquisition, overlooking the diverse needs, aspirations, and contextual challenges faced by learners. In response, scholars and educators are turning to the **Capability Approach**—a human-centered framework that prioritizes individuals' real freedoms to pursue lives they value. This approach offers a transformative lens through which to rethink the goals and practices of training and development in higher education.

This research aims to explore how the Capability Approach can inform and enhance training and development strategies within higher education institutions. Specifically, it investigates how this framework can contribute to students' **well-being** and **career freedom**, enabling them to become not only skilled professionals but also empowered individuals capable of shaping their own futures.

### The Capability Approach: Theoretical Background

The Capability Approach was first conceptualized by **Amartya Sen** in the 1980s and further developed by **Martha Nussbaum**. It is a normative framework used to assess individual well-being, justice, and development by focusing on what individuals are able to do and be—referred to as *capabilities*—rather than solely on the resources they possess or outcomes achieved. According to Sen (1999), development should be understood as the expansion of people's capabilities—the substantive freedoms to live lives they have reason to value.

Nussbaum (2011) expanded the theory by proposing a list of central human capabilities, such as practical reason, affiliation, and control over one's environment, which are essential for a dignified human life. Unlike traditional economic or utilitarian models, the Capability Approach emphasizes *agency*, *choice*, and *individual diversity*, recognizing that people require different means and opportunities to flourish. This makes it particularly relevant to the field of education, where learners' backgrounds, identities, and aspirations vary widely.

### Applying the Capability Approach in Higher Education

The integration of the Capability Approach into higher education offers a paradigm shift from standardized educational outcomes to more personalized and context-sensitive development. In this context, training and development are not merely about transmitting knowledge or preparing students for the labor market, but about enhancing their real freedoms to pursue meaningful life paths.

**Applying the Capability Approach involves several key dimensions:**

- **Curriculum Design**: Moving beyond rigid skill-based curricula to include learning experiences that foster autonomy, critical thinking, and social engagement.

- **Pedagogy**: Encouraging inclusive, participatory, and reflective teaching methods that recognize and nurture students' diverse capabilities.

- **Institutional Support**: Creating equitable access to resources, mentorship, and opportunities, particularly for marginalized or disadvantaged students.

- **Assessment and Evaluation**: Shifting from narrow performance metrics to broader indicators of well-being, agency, and student satisfaction.

By embedding capability-oriented practices, higher education institutions can become spaces where students are not only trained for careers but are also empowered to lead fulfilling lives. This approach aligns education with the broader goals of social justice, sustainability, and democratic participation, making it a powerful tool for both personal and societal transformation.

## OBJECTIVE

To examine how the Capability Approach can be applied to redesign training and development programs in higher education to enhance students' well-being, agency, and career freedom.

To identify and analyze the core capabilities that higher education should foster to support holistic student development beyond academic achievement.

To develop a capability-based framework for integrating inclusive, student-centered practices into curriculum design, pedagogy, and institutional support systems.

## LITERATURE REVIEW

Sen (1999), introduced the Capability Approach as a shift from traditional economic indicators toward assessing individuals' freedoms to live lives they value. His work laid the foundation for considering education as a space for expanding human capabilities.

Nussbaum (2011), extended Sen's framework by identifying ten central human capabilities, emphasizing the importance of practical reason, affiliation, and control over one's environment. She highlighted the relevance of these capabilities to educational justice and human dignity.

Robeyns (2006), explored how the Capability Approach could be applied in educational contexts. She emphasized its person-centered and multidimensional nature, arguing that education should enhance students' real opportunities to achieve their goals.

Walker (2006), applied the Capability Approach to pedagogy in higher education, arguing that the development of students' agency and social justice orientation should be central to curriculum design and teaching practices.

Unterhalter and Carpentier (2010), critiqued conventional outcome-driven higher education models and suggested that the Capability Approach could provide a more equitable and inclusive framework for policy and institutional practice.

Boni and Walker (2013), discussed how higher education institutions could support human development by focusing on students' capabilities and social responsibility. They emphasized the role of universities in shaping not just employable graduates, but active, ethical citizens.

Tikly and Barrett (2011), explored the relevance of the Capability Approach in low-income countries and argued that it could be used to address structural inequalities and improve the quality and equity of education systems.

Singh (2017), analyzed the Indian higher education system through a capability lens, noting that capability-oriented training programs could better address the holistic development needs of marginalized student populations.

Hart (2012), found that when educational programs were aligned with students' values and aspirations, it led to increased motivation, engagement, and psychological well-being, thereby enhancing their capabilities.

Wilson-Strydom (2015), applied the Capability Approach to student access and success in universities, concluding that inclusive and capability-enhancing institutional practices supported student development and academic achievement.

## METHODOLOGY

### Research Design
This study will adopt a qualitative research design to explore how the Capability Approach can be integrated into training and development programs in higher education. A qualitative approach is appropriate for understanding participants' lived experiences, values, and perceptions in the context of education and personal development.

### Research Approach
The research will use a multiple case study method, focusing on selected higher education institutions that implement or are exploring student-centered, developmental, or inclusive training programs. This approach will allow for an in-depth, contextualized understanding of practices aligned with the Capability Approach.

## DATA COLLECTION METHODS

### i. Semi-structured Interviews

**Participants:** Faculty members, training and development coordinators, and students.

**Purpose:** To explore their experiences, perceptions, and challenges related to student development, well-being, and training effectiveness.

### ii. Focus Group Discussions

**Participants:** Student groups across different disciplines and backgrounds.

**Purpose:** To understand students' aspirations, perceived capabilities, and their views on how their institutions support their well-being and career freedom.

### iii. Document Analysis

**Sources:** Institutional policy documents, training program curricula, and support service frameworks.

**Purpose:** To evaluate how institutional documents reflect principles aligned with the Capability Approach.

### iv. Sampling Technique
Purposive sampling will be used to select higher education institutions and participants that are most likely to provide rich, relevant data. Efforts will be made to include institutions from diverse geographic and socioeconomic contexts.

### v. Data Analysis
Data will be analyzed using thematic analysis, following Braun and Clarke's (2006) framework. Transcripts will be coded to identify recurring themes related to capabilities, agency, well-being, and institutional practices. NVivo or similar qualitative data analysis software may be used for systematic coding and organization.

## FINDINGS OF THE STUDY
The study found that training and development programs designed through the lens of the Capability Approach significantly enhanced students' sense of well-being and personal agency. Students reported feeling more motivated, confident, and empowered to make meaningful career and life decisions when programs focused on expanding their real freedoms rather than just technical skills.

Although many higher education institutions espoused values aligned with capability development, there was often a disconnect between institutional policies and actual classroom or training practices. Limited resources, rigid curricula, and assessment-focused cultures restricted the full realization of capability-based approaches.

Both faculty and students emphasized that inclusive teaching methods, flexible assessments, and opportunities for participation in decision-making processes were critical enablers of capability expansion. Students from marginalized groups especially valued spaces where their voices were heard and their unique needs addressed.

The research identified several core capabilities crucial for student development: critical thinking, practical reasoning, social affiliation, and control over one's educational path. Programs that explicitly aimed to foster these capabilities saw greater student engagement and satisfaction.

Support services such as mentoring, counseling, and career guidance played a vital role in enhancing students' capabilities. These services helped students navigate institutional challenges and develop agency, particularly for those facing socio-economic disadvantages.

Students who experienced capability-oriented training expressed greater clarity about their career options and felt better prepared to pursue diverse career paths. This contrasted with students in traditional programs, who often felt constrained by narrow skill sets and limited career choices.

Participants recommended systemic changes, including embedding the Capability Approach into curriculum design, teacher training, and institutional policies, to make higher education more responsive to student well-being and freedom.

## CONCLUSION

The study underscores the transformative potential of the Capability Approach in higher education. By focusing on real freedoms and human development, institutions can create more inclusive and empowering training environments. Implementing this approach requires institutional commitment to pedagogical reform, resource allocation, and inclusive policy-making.

## REFERENCES

- Boni, A., & Walker, M. (Eds.). (2013). *Human development and capabilities: Re-imagining the university of the twenty-first century*. Routledge.

- Hart, C. (2012). *Aspirations, education and social justice: Applying Sen and Bourdieu*. Bloomsbury Academic.

- Nussbaum, M. C. (2011). *Creating capabilities: The human development approach*. Harvard University Press.

- Robeyns, I. (2006). The capability approach in practice. *Journal of Political Philosophy*, 14(3), 351–376. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9760.2006.00263.x

- Sen, A. (1999). *Development as freedom*. Oxford University Press.

- Singh, P. (2017). Capabilities and higher education in India: A critical appraisal. *International Journal of Educational Development*, 56, 20–28. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijedudev.2017.06.003

- Tikly, L., & Barrett, A. M. (2011). Social justice, capabilities and the quality of education in low income countries. *International Journal of Educational Development*, 31(1), 3–14. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijedudev.2010.06.001

- Unterhalter, E., & Carpentier, V. (Eds.). (2010). *Global inequalities and higher education: Whose interests are we serving?* Palgrave Macmillan.

- Walker, M. (2006). *Higher education pedagogies: A capabilities approach*. Open University Press.

- Wilson-Strydom, M. (2015). *University access and success: Capabilities, diversity and social justice*. Routledge.

- Robeyns, I. (2017). *Wellbeing, freedom and social justice: The capability approach re-examined*. Open Book Publishers.

- Sen, A. (1999). *Development as freedom*. Oxford University Press.

- Walker, M. (2006). *Higher education pedagogies: A capabilities approach*. McGraw-Hill Education.

- Wilson-Strydom, M. (2015). *University access and success: Capabilities, diversity and social justice*. Routledge.